

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,239	9 07/10/2003		Masahiro Ohgami	A35901 074224.0114 2852	
21003	7590	01/03/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER & 30 ROCKER		Δ7Δ	YEE, DEBORAH		
NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1742	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/617,239	MASAHIRO OHG	AMI ET AL
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Deborah Yee	1742	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	idress
A SHO WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir if apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ ¯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Or</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	esecution as to the	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E			5 ,,,,,,,,,,,
	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4 5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 to 6 is/are pending in the apara) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 to 6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicatio	on Papers			
10) T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,239 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Since applicant has deleted examples A-1 and D-1 from table 1 based on the amendment dated 10-31-05, then "A-1" and "D-1" disclosed in paragraph [0066] should also be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 4 to 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 11-012684 for the reasons set forth in the previous office action dated 8-08-05.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 10-31-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. It was submitted that present invention is directed to a steel pipe having a composition with .31 to 1.0% Si to enhance strength which is not taught, shown or

Application/Control Number: 10/617,239 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

suggested by JP'684 steel containing <0.3%. It is the examiner's position that since applicant has not demonstrated criticality of the newly amended Si range of 0.31 to 1.0% (e.g. by comparative test data), then it seem a composition with 0.31%Si vs. a composition with slightly less than 0.3% would depict a mere difference in the proportion of element without any attendant unexpected results, and hence would not patentably distinguish over prior art. Also a steel having at least 0.31%Si does not appear to be critical because in applicant's specification, paragraph [0034] on page 11 discloses 0.05 to 1.0%Si is permissible, and Tables 1 and 2 on page 27 and 28 discloses deleted examples A-1 and D-1 having less than 0.3% Si yet have excellent high strength properties. Moreover, JP'684 machine-English translation on page 11, Table 11 discloses Tensile strength ranging from 413 to 690MPA, and is overlapping with applicant's present tensile strength range of 409 to 593MPA on page 28.

- 6. Applicant stated that JP'684 steel is used for making machine structure parts and are subjected to case hardening, spherodizing and carburizing whereas the present invention relates to enhancing or increasing the strength of a steel pipe used for construction. It is the examiner's position that JP'684 in [0001] discloses using steel as a shaft or cylinder which would be patentably equivalent to a pipe since they are similar in shape. Moreover prior art steel pipe is used for the constructuion of engine components and hence would meet applicant's claim recitation".
- 7. In regard to the different process of making, it is the examiner's position that present invention claims are directed to a product not a process, and patentability is

Art Unit: 1742

determined based on the product. Since JP'684 steel pipe closely meet and suggest the claimed composition and microstructure (ferrite and (pearlite and/or cementite), then claims would not patentably over prior art. See MPEP 2113.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,239 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deborate Yee

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742

dy